

### PUBLIC RI REPORT

**2021 PILOT** 

Maj Invest

Generated 2022-08-18

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework helps to build a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment activities. **Public RI Reports** provide accountability and transparency on signatories' responsible investment activities and support dialogue within signatories' organisations, as well as with their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

This **Public RI Report** is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2021 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offered a multiple-choice response, all options that were available to select from are included for context. While presenting the information verbatim results in lengthy reports, the approach is informed by signatory feedback that signatories prefer that the PRI does not summarise the information.

## Context

In consultation with signatories, between 2018 and 2020 the PRI extensively reviewed the Reporting and Assessment processes and set the ambitious objective of launching in 2021 a completely new investor Reporting Framework, together with a new reporting tool.

We ran the new investor Reporting and Assessment process as a pilot in its first year, and such process included providing additional opportunities for signatories to provide feedback on the Reporting Framework, the online reporting tool and the resulting reports. The feedback from this pilot phase has been, and is continuing to be analysed, in order to identify any improvements that can be included in future reporting cycles.

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2021 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# Table of Contents

Module/Indicator	Page
Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)	4
Organisational Overview (OO)	8
Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)	20
Listed Equity (LE)	43
Fixed Income (FI)	58
Private Equity (PE)	71

# Senior Leadership Statement (SLS)

# Senior leadership statement

### Our commitment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Our commitment	GENERAL

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment?
- What are the main differences between your organisation's approach to responsible investment in its ESG practice and in other practices, across asset classes?

Maj Invest was established in 2005 and became a PRI signatory in 2010. We have two primary business areas encompassed by our PRI membership and reporting. They include Maj Invest Asset Management, investing in listed equities and fixed income, and Maj Invest Equity, investing in private companies in Denmark and emerging markets (Equity International).

Investing responsibly is a part of our business, and it serves several purposes. In our role as an asset manager, we believe that environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors can affect the performance of the investment portfolios. Furthermore, we find that integrating ESG factors and ensuring that investments take place in companies that behave responsibly is also important. As a private business entity, we have an opportunity and a conviction to ensure that managed capital is directed towards responsible companies to the extend of our possibilities.

In accordance with new EU regulation and the EU Disclosure Regulation, in 2021 we have also adopted a policy on ESG Risk and respected the requirements in EU Disclosure Regulation on information on our website and relevant policies and governance set-up.

Maj Invest has a Responsible Investment Policy and a Voting Policy serving as our overall framework for and approach to responsible investment. Overall, we integrate ESG processes and procedures in our investment process. We manage several listed portfolios including both equities and fixed income, and these portfolios undergo a norms-based screening on a quarterly basis by an external service provider which has the expertise and research capabilities to monitor listed companies. The focus of the norms-based screening is to ensure compliance with the UN Global Compact and its underlying conventions. In case, companies are at risk of violating or have confirmed violations, the service provider also initiates an engagement process with the companies to rectify the issues. We believe in engagement rather than exclusion. However, in rare cases where a company is not responsive to a constructive dialogue over a period, we may exclude the company from our portfolios.

Maj Invest Equity has a Responsible Investment Policy and a Voting Policy serving as our overall framework for and approach to responsible investment. Overall, we integrate ESG processes and procedures in our investment process. We manage seven private equity funds in which the approach differs from the listed portfolios. These funds are either majority owners or significant minority owners and have a close ongoing dialogue and cooperation with the companies via an active ownership approach and board membership. ESG factors are incorporated both in the due diligence process and during the ownership period, and ensuring that companies develop sustainably in terms of environmental, social and corporate governance factors is part of our value creation.

#### Annual overview

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Annual overview	GENERAL

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. This might involve e.g. outlining your single most important achievement, or describing your general progress, on topics such as the following:
  - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policy makers
  - o collaborative engagements
  - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

General approach and engagement Maj Invest continuously reviews and develops its approach to responsible investment. Our overall approach has remained the same during 2020, but we have established a working group which is to review our process and develop our approach both to reflect client requests, EU legislative requirements and societal ESG trends. We have a formal procedure for reviewing and updating our Responsible Investment Policy, ESG Risk Policy, and Voting Policy on at least an annual basis. In Asset Management, we have an engagement collaboration with an external service provider from which we receive quarterly engagement reports. These reports provide thorough insights into the issues identified, the engagement process and the ongoing progress of the company's response. We are satisfied with our service provider and the content of the engagement reports. Updates during 2020 Asset Management In Asset Management, we established a reporting process on the engagement progress for foreign clients, in particular, during the last quarter of 2020. During the last part of 2020, we also revised the exclusionary screening criteria for a specific fund. Following the implementation of the initial screening criteria, we refined the criteria to ensure a perfect match with the intended investment strategy however keeping the overall screening criteria intact. Private Equity In Danish Private Equity, we increased our engagement with and requirements to portfolio companies of the funds we manage. We have been making ESG reports for the Danish funds for several years, and in 2020 we increased our requirements to the portfolio companies, which are now required to select a series of KPI's related to the Sustainable Development Goals. The portfolio companies are required to set targets and improve these KPIs from year to year. These targets and the development are reflected in our annual ESG reports for these funds. 
In International Private Equity, we initiated a process of measuring the impact and outcome in our financial inclusion investments. We collaborate with an external service provider with specific expertise in the impact area to carry out impact assessments of all the portfolio companies.

### Next steps

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Next steps	GENERAL

What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

We have established a working group which is to review our process and develop our approach both to reflect client requests, EU legislative requirements and societal ESG trends. In addition, the new EU legislation – SFDR – establishes further requirements which we have to implement during the next three years (2021-2023). In relation to private equity and investments in the financial inclusion sector, our collaboration with an external service provider on measuring outcome and impact will strengthen our commitment to responsible investment even further.

#### Endorsement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1 S4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Endorsement	GENERAL

The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment.

Name	Jeppe Christiansen
Position	CEO
Organisation's name	Maj Invest

• This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by Maj Invest in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of Maj Invest's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

# Organisational Overview (OO)

# Organisational information

## Categorisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	Signatory category	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Categorisation	GENERAL
Select the typ	be that best describe	s your organisation or t	he services you provide	<b>).</b>		
(O) Fund ma	nagement			(1) T	This is our only (ο	r primary)
(Q) Execution and advisory			(2) This is an additional (secondary) type			
(R) Wealth management				(2) This is an additional		

## Subsidiary information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

(secondary) type

Does your organisation have subsidiaries that are also PRI signatories in their own right?

 $\circ$  (A) Yes

## Reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 3	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

Indicate the year-end date for your reporting year.

	Month	Day	Year
Reporting year end date:	December	31	2020

# Assets under management

### All asset classes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 4.1, OO 4.2	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What were your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the indicated reporting year? Provide the amount in USD.

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries	US\$ 15,300,000,000.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission	US\$ 0.00
(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 0.00

## Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total assets under management at the end of your indicated reporting year.

Percentage of AUM

(A) Listed equity – internal	86.0%
(B) Listed equity – external	0.0%
(C) Fixed income – internal	9.0%
(D) Fixed income – external	0.0%
(E) Private equity – internal	5.0%
(F) Private equity – external	0.0%
(G) Real estate – internal	0.0%
(H) Real estate – external	0.0%
${\rm (I)\ Infrastructure-internal}$	0.0%
${\rm (J)\ Infrastructure-external}$	0.0%
(K) Hedge funds – internal	0.0%
(L) Hedge funds – external	0.0%
(M) Forestry – internal	0.0%
(N) Forestry – external	0.0%
(O) Farmland – internal	0.0%

(P) Farmland – external	0.0%
(Q) Other – internal, please specify:	0.0%
(R) Other – external, please specify:	0.0%
(S) Off-balance sheet – internal	0.0%
(T) Off-balance sheet – external	0.0%

# ESG strategies

## Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 LE	CORE	OO 5.2 LE	OO 6.1 LE, LE 13	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

#### Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity:

(A) Screening alone	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	100.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 LE	CORE	OO 6 LE	LE 8	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active listed equity assets?

	Percentage coverage out of your total listed equities where screening strategy is applied
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	100.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%

## Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6 FI	CORE	OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies do you apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income – SSA	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Screening alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Thematic alone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(C) Integration alone	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(D) Screening and integration	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(F) Screening and thematic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(G) All three strategies combined	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(H) None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6.1 FI	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

### What type of screening is applied to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(2) Fixed income – corporate	(3) Fixed income – securitised
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0.0%	0.0%
(B) Negative screening only	100.0%	100.0%
(C) A combination of positive/best-in-class and negative screening	0.0%	0.0%

# Stewardship

## Listed equity

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 LE	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 LE	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Listed equity	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your listed equity assets?

	(1) Engagement on listed equity – active	(3) (Proxy) voting on listed equity – active
(A) Through service providers	Ø	Z
(C) Through internal staff		☑
(D) Collaboratively	☑	
(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity		

## Fixed income

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 FI	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.2 FI	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities for your fixed income assets?

	$(4)\ Active-SSA$	(5) Active – corporate	(6) Active – securitised
(A) Through service providers		Ø	Ø
(C) Through internal staff			
(D) Collaboratively		☑	V

(E) We did not conduct this stewardship activity for this strategy/asset type   ✓		
---	--	--

## Private equity, real estate and infrastructure

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 ALT	CORE	OO 5	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Private equity, real estate and infrastructure	2

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities in the following asset classes?

	(1) Private equity
(A) Through service providers	
(C) Through internal staff	☑
(D) Collaboratively	☑
(E) We did not conduct stewardship activities for this asset class	

# ESG incorporation

## Internally managed assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, select whether or not you incorporate ESG into your investment decisions.

	(1) ESG incorporated into investment decisions	(2) ESG not incorporated into investment decisions
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	•	0
(F) Fixed income – SSA	•	0
(G) Fixed income – corporate	•	0
(H) Fixed income – securitised	•	0
(J) Private equity	•	0

# Voluntary reporting

## Voluntary modules

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Voluntary modules	GENERAL

The following modules are voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules as they account for less than 10% of your total AUM and are under USD 10 billion. Please select if you wish to voluntarily report on the module.

	(1) Yes, report on the module	(2) No, opt out of reporting on the module
(F) Private equity	•	٥
	ory to report on as they account for 10% or n tewardship Policy) module is always applicable	
	(1) Yes, repo	rt on the module
ISP: Investment and Stewardship Policy		•
(A) Listed equity		•
(B) Fixed income – SSA		•
(C) Fixed income – corporate		•
(D) Fixed income – securitised		•

# ESG/sustainability funds and products

### Labelling and marketing

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management in each asset class are ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or ESG/RI certified or labelled assets? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5% and should combine internally and externally managed assets.

	Percentage	
(B) Listed equity – active	5.0%	
(D) Fixed income – active	7.0%	
(E) Private equity	44.0%	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16.1	CORE	OO 16	ISP 52	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	GENERAL

What percentage of your total assets (per asset class) carry a formal ESG/RI certification or label? Percentage figures can be rounded to the nearest 5%.

#### Coverage of ESG/RI certification or label:

(A) Listed equity	0.0%
(B) Fixed income	0.0%
(C) Private equity	0.0%

# Climate investments

### Asset breakdown

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

What percentage of your assets under management is in targeted low-carbon or climate-resilient investments?

5.0%

# Context and explanation

## ESG not incorporated

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 35	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1, 2

Describe why you currently do not incorporate ESG into your assets and/or why you currently do not conduct stewardship.

	Description
(C) Internally managed: Fixed income – SSA	Our service provider has not yet found a credible way to engage on ESG related to government bonds and we agree with this view.

# Investment and Stewardship Policy (ISP)

# Responsible investment policy & governance

### Responsible investment policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1	CORE	N/A	ISP 1.1, ISP 1.2	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

Does your organisation have a formal policy or policies covering your approach to responsible investment? Your approach to responsible investment may be set out in a standalone guideline, covered in multiple standalone guidelines or be part of a broader investment policy. Your policy may cover various responsible investment elements such as stewardship, ESG guidelines, sustainability outcomes, specific climate-related guidelines, RI governance and similar.

- (A) Yes, we do have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment
- o (B) No, we do not have a policy covering our approach to responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 1.1	CORE	ISP 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What elements does your responsible investment policy cover? The responsible investment elements may be set out in one or multiple standalone guidelines, or they may be part of a broader investment policy.

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- $\Box$  (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- $\square$  (C) Guidelines on social factors
- $\square$  (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship
- ☐ (F) Approach to sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (G) Approach to exclusions
- (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented
- $\square$  (I) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our fiduciary duty
- $\square$  (J) Definition of responsible investment and how it relates to our investment objectives
- $\square$  (K) Responsible investment governance structure
- (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment

#### ☑ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment

□ (N) Managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment

#### (O) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here, please specify:

The Responsible Investment Policy is encompassed by a formal Procedure to ensure compliance of PRI requirements, among other things, and includes a formal process for internal verification.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 2	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	6

#### Indicate which of your responsible investment policy elements are publicly available and provide links.

#### $\square$ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment. Add link(s):

 $https://majinvest.com/en/asset-management/about-asset-management/responsible-investment/\ \&\ https://majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/$ 

#### ☑ (E) Approach to stewardship. Add link(s):

 $https://majinvest.com/en/asset-management/about-asset-management/responsible-investment/\ \&\ https://majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/$ 

#### ☑ (G) Approach to exclusions. Add link(s):

https://majinvest.com/en/asset-management/about-asset-management/responsible-investment/ & https://majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/

#### ☑ (H) Asset class-specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented. Add link(s):

https://majinvest.com/en/asset-management/about-asset-management/responsible-investment/ & https://majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/

#### ☑ (L) Internal reporting and verification related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

https://majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/

#### ☑ (M) External reporting related to responsible investment. Add link(s):

https://majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/

#### ☑ (O) Other responsible investment aspects [as specified] Add link(s):

https://majinvest.com/en/about-financial-inclusion/esg-impact/

 $\square$  (P) Our responsible investment policy elements are not publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 3	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your policy elements on overall approach to responsible investment and/or guidelines on environmental, social and governance factors?

• (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

#### AUM coverage of all policy elements in total:

100.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 4	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

#### Which elements does your exclusion policy include?

- ☑ (A) Legally required exclusions (e.g. those required by domestic/international law, bans, treaties or embargoes)
- $\square$  (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs (e.g. regarding weapons, alcohol, to bacco and/or avoiding other particular sectors, products, services or regions)
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on screening against minimum standards of business practice based on international norms (e.g. OECD guidelines, the UN Human Rights Declaration, Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 5	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy	1

What percentage of your total assets under management are covered by your asset class—specific guidelines that describe how ESG incorporation is implemented?

	AUM Coverage:
(A) Listed Equity	100.0%

(B) Fixed Income	100.0%
(C) Private Equity	100.0%

#### Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 6	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

Do your organisation's board, chief-level staff, investment committee and/or head of department have formal oversight and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☑ (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☑ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- ☐ (C) Investment committee
- ☑ (D) Other chief-level staff, please specify:
- General Counsel, Managing Director, Head of Legal & Compliance
- ☑ (E) Head of department, please specify department:
- Head of Equities and Fixed Income (various persons)
- $\square$  (F) None of the above roles have oversight and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 7	CORE	N/A	ISP 8	PUBLIC	Governance	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles have responsibility for implementing responsible investment?

- (A) Board and/or trustees
- ☑ (B) Chief-level staff (e.g. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))
- □ (C) Investment committee
- ☑ (D) Other chief-level staff [as specified]
- ☑ (E) Head of department [as specified]
- ☑ (F) Portfolio managers
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  (G) Investment analysts
- ☑ (H) Dedicated responsible investment staff
- ☐ (I) Investor relations
- ☐ (J) External managers or service providers
- $\square$  (K) Other role, please specify:
- $\Box$  (L) Other role, please specify:
- $\square$  (M) We do not have roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment.

## People and capabilities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8	CORE	ISP 6, ISP 7	ISP 8.1, ISP 8.2	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

What formal objectives for responsible investment do the roles in your organisation have?

	(1) Board and/or trustees	(2) Chief-level staff	(4) Other chief- level staff [as specified]	(5) Head of department [as specified]
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)			Ø	Ø
(D) Objective for ESG performance				Ø
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]			Ø	
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]			Ø	
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role				

	(6) Portfolio managers	(7) Investment analysts	(8) Dedicated responsible investment staff
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities			Ø
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	Ø		<b></b> ✓
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	Ø		<b></b> ✓
(D) Objective for ESG performance	Ø	Ø	Ø
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]			Ø
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment [as specified]			☑
(G) No formal objectives for responsible investment exist for this role			

Please specify for "(E) Other objective related to responsible investment".

To ensure implementation of policies and compliance with PRI minimum requirements.

Please specify for "(F) Other objective related to responsible investment".

To ensure implementation of policies and compliance with PRI minimum requirements.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 8.2	CORE	ISP 8	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

Which responsible investment objectives are linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation?

RI objectives linked to variable compensation for roles in your organisation:
er (CIO) or Chief Operating Officer (COO))

(5) Head of department	
(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(6) Portfolio managers	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(7) Investment analysts	

(D) Objective for ESG performance

(8)	Dedicated	responsible	investment	staff
-----	-----------	-------------	------------	-------

(A) Objective for ESG incorporation in investment activities	
(B) Objective for contributing to the development of the organisation's ESG incorporation approach	
(C) Objective for contributing to the organisation's stewardship activities (e.g. through sharing findings from continuous ESG research or investment decisions)	
(D) Objective for ESG performance	
(E) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option E)	
(F) Other objective related to responsible investment (as specified in ISP 8 option F)	
(G) We have not linked any RI objectives to variable compensation	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 9	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	People and capabilities	General

## How frequently does your organisation assess the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among your investment professionals?

- o (A) Quarterly or more frequently
- o (B) Bi-annually
- o (C) Annually
- $\circ$  (D) Less frequently than annually
- (E) On an ad hoc basis
- $\circ$  (F) We do not have a process for assessing the responsible investment capabilities and training needs among our investment professionals

### Strategic asset allocation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 10	CORE	N/A	ISP 10.1	PUBLIC	Strategic asset allocation	1

#### Does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your strategic asset allocation?

- $\square$  (A) We incorporate ESG factors into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- $\square$  (B) We specifically incorporate physical, transition and regulatory changes related to climate change into calculations for expected risks and returns of asset classes
- (C) No, we do not incorporate ESG considerations into our strategic asset allocation
- $\square$  (D) Not applicable, we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

# Stewardship

## Stewardship policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

#### What percentage of your assets under management does your stewardship policy cover?

(A) Listed equity	100.0%
(B) Fixed income	100.0%
(C) Private equity	100.0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 12	CORE	ISP 1.1	ISP 12.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy	2

Which elements does your organisation's stewardship policy cover? The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI policy.

- ☑ (A) Key stewardship objectives
- $\square$  (B) Prioritisation approach of ESG factors and their link to engagement issues and targets
- □ (C) Prioritisation approach depending on entity (e.g. company or government)
- □ (D) Specific approach to climate-related risks and opportunities
- □ (E) Stewardship tool usage across the organisation, including which, if any, tools are out of scope and when and how different tools are used and by whom (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams, service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- ☑ (F) Stewardship tool usage for specific internal teams (e.g. specialist teams, investment teams or similar)
- (G) Stewardship tool usage for specific external teams (e.g. service providers, external investment managers or similar)
- (H) Approach to collaboration on stewardship
- ☑ (I) Escalation strategies
- ☑ (J) Conflicts of interest
- ☑ (K) Details on how the stewardship policy is implemented and which elements are mandatory, including how and when the policy can be overruled
- ☑ (L) How stewardship efforts and results should be communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision—making and vice versa
- ☐ (M) None of the above elements are captured in our stewardship policy

### Stewardship policy implementation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 13	CORE	ISP 1.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship policy implementation	2

#### How is your stewardship policy primarily applied?

- (B) It describes default actions that can be overridden (e.g. by investment teams for certain portfolios)
- o (C) It creates permission for taking certain measures that are otherwise exceptional
- o (D) We have not developed a uniform approach to applying our stewardship policy

### Stewardship objectives

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 15	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship objectives	2

For the majority of assets within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity
(A) Maximise the risk–return profile of individual investments	0	0	0
(B) Maximise overall returns across the portfolio	0	0	0
(C) Maximise overall value to beneficiaries/clients	•	•	•
(D) Contribute to shaping specific sustainability outcomes (i.e. deliver impact)	0	0	O

## Stewardship prioritisation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 16	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship prioritisation	2

What key criteria does your organisation use to prioritise your engagement targets? For asset classes such as real estate, private equity and infrastructure, you may consider this as key criteria to prioritise actions taken on ESG factors for assets, portfolio companies and/or properties in your portfolio. Select up to 3 options per asset class from the list.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity
(A) The size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property			
(B) The materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance			
(C) Specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights)			Ø
(D) The ESG rating of the entity			<b>7</b>
(E) The adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance	☑	Ø	
(F) Specific ESG factors based on input from clients			Ø
(G) Specific ESG factors based on input from beneficiaries			
(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets, please specify:		☑	
(I) We do not prioritise our engagement targets			

Please specify for "(H) Other criteria to prioritise engagement targets".

Our service provider on engagement, Sustainalytics, has a process for prioritizing engagement targets.

### Collaborative stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 18	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	ISP 18.1	PUBLIC	Collaborative stewardship	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf, with regards to collaborative stewardship efforts such as collaborative engagements?

- (A) We recognise that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts
- o (B) We collaborate when our individual stewardship efforts have been unsuccessful or are likely to be unsuccessful, i.e. as an escalation tool
- o (C) We collaborate in situations where doing so would minimise resource cost to our organisation
- o (D) We do not have a default position but collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (E) We generally do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

### Escalation strategies

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 20	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Escalation strategies	2

If initial stewardship approaches were deemed unsuccessful, which of the following measures are excluded from the potential escalation actions of your organisation or those of the service providers/external managers acting on your behalf?

(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors	
(F) Voting against the annual financial report	
(G) Divesting or implementing an exit strategy	
(H) We do not have any restrictions on the escalation measures we can use	

## Engaging policymakers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 23	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Engaging policymakers	2

How does your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policymakers for a more sustainable financial system?

- $\square$  (A) We engage with policymakers directly
- $\square$  (B) We provide financial support, are members of and/or are in another way affiliated with third-party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policymakers
- (C) We do not engage with policymakers directly or indirectly

# Climate change

### Public support

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 26	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

#### Does your organisation publicly support the Paris Agreement?

- $\circ$  (A) Yes, we publicly support the Paris Agreement Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the Paris Agreement:
- (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the Paris Agreement

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 27	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Public support	General

#### Does your organisation publicly support the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)?

- $\circ$  (A) Yes, we publicly support the TCFD Add link(s) to webpage or other public document/text expressing support for the TCFD:
- (B) No, we currently do not publicly support the TCFD

#### Governance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 28	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

#### How does the board or the equivalent function exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities?

- (A) By establishing internal processes through which the board or the equivalent function are informed about climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:
- Head of legal/compliance shares risk document with the board and informs the board of any sustainability related risks that may exist.
- $\square$  (B) By articulating internal/external roles and responsibilities related to climate. Specify:
- □ (C) By engaging with beneficiaries to understand how their preferences are evolving with regard to climate change. Specify:
- (D) By incorporating climate change into investment beliefs and policies. Specify:
- Three strategies are subject to fossil exclusions and one fixed income strategy invests in green bonds.
- $\square$  (E) By monitoring progress on climate-related metrics and targets. Specify:
- □ (F) By defining the link between fiduciary duty and climate risks and opportunities. Specify:
- $\square$  (G) Other measures to exercise oversight, please specify:

☐ (H) The board or the equivalent function does not exercise oversight over climate-related risks and opportunities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 29	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Governance	General

#### What is the role of management in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities?

- $\square$  (A) Management is responsible for identifying climate-related risks/opportunities and reporting them back to the board or the equivalent function. Specify:
- $\square$  (B) Management implements the agreed-upon risk management measures. Specify:
- ☑ (C) Management monitors and reports on climate-related risks and opportunities. Specify:

Head of legal/compliance shares risk document with management and informs management of any sustainability related risks that may exist.

☑ (D) Management ensures adequate resources, including staff, training and budget, are available to assess, implement and monitor climate-related risks/opportunities and measures. Specify:

Management has implemented training of staff and purchased new tools for monitoring climate-related risks/opportunities.

- □ (E) Other roles management takes on to assess and manage climate-related risks/opportunities, please specify:
- □ (F) Our management does not have responsibility for assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

### Strategy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

#### Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified within its investment time horizon(s)?

- $\square$  (A) Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:
- $\Box$  (B) Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
- ☑ (C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:
  - We have a strategy investing in green bonds.
- $\square$  (D) Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:
- ☑ (E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:
  - We have a strategy investing in green bonds.
- □ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:
- $\square$  (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified. Specify:
- □ (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities within our organisation's investment time horizon

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 30.1	CORE	ISP 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

For each of the identified climate-related risks and opportunities, indicate within which investment time-horizon they were identified.

	(1) 3–5 months	(2) 6 months to 2 years	(3) 2–4 years	(4) 5–10 years
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]			Ø	
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]			Ø	
	(5) 11–20 years	(6) 21–30	) years	(7) >30 years
(C) Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk [as specified]				
(E) Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios [as specified]				

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 31	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Strategy	General

#### Which climate-related risks and opportunities has your organisation identified beyond its investment time horizon(s)?

(A)	Specific financial risks in different asset classes. Specify:
(B)	Specific sectors and/or assets that are at risk of being stranded. Specify:
(C)	Assets with exposure to direct physical climate risk. Specify:
(D)	Assets with exposure to indirect physical climate risk. Specify:
(E)	Specific sectors and/or assets that are likely to benefit under a range of climate scenarios. Specify:

□ (F) Specific sectors and/or assets that contribute significantly to achieving our climate goals. Specify:

 $\Box$  (G) Other climate-related risks and opportunities identified, please specify:

🗹 (H) We have not identified specific climate-related risks and opportunities beyond our organisation's investment time horizon

## Strategy: Scenario analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 33	CORE	N/A	ISP 33.1	PUBLIC	Strategy: Scenario analysis	General

Does your organisation use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities? Select the range of scenarios used.

- ☐ (A) An orderly transition to a 2°C or lower scenario
- $\square$  (B) An abrupt transition consistent with the Inevitable Policy Response
- □ (C) A failure to transition, based on a 4°C or higher scenario
- $\square$  (D) Other climate scenario, specify:
- ☑ (E) We do not use scenario analysis to assess climate-related investment risks and opportunities

# Sustainability outcomes

## Identify sustainability outcomes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 43	CORE	N/A	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes from any of its activities?

- (A) No, we have not identified the sustainability outcomes from our activities
- (B) Yes, we have identified one or more sustainability outcomes from some or all of our activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44	CORE	ISP 43	ISP 44.1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

What frameworks/tools did your organisation use to identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities? Indicate the tools or frameworks you have used to identify and map some or all of your sustainability outcomes.

) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets	
) The Paris Agreement	
) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)	
) The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, including guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for	
utional Investors	
) The EU Taxonomy	
Other taxonomies (e.g. similar to the EU Taxonomy), please specify:	
c) Other framework/tool, please specify:	
We apply a specific framework related to financial inclusion, developed by the Smart Campaign and Microfinanza Rating.	
Other framework/tool, please specify:	
, , , 1 1 0	
Other framework/tool, please specify:	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 44.1	CORE	ISP 44	N/A	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

At what level(s) did your organisation identify the sustainability outcomes from its activities?

☑ (A)	At the asset level
□ (B)	At the economic activity level
□ (C)	At the company level
$\square$ (D)	At the sector level
□ (E)	At the country/region level
□ (F)	At the global level
$\square$ (G)	Other level(s), please specify:
$\square$ (H)	We do not track at what level(s) our sustainability outcomes were identified

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 45	CORE	ISP 43	SO 1	PUBLIC	Identify sustainability outcomes	1

How has your organisation determined your most important sustainability outcome objectives?

- ☑ (A) Identifying sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consulting with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- □ (C) Assessing the potential severity (e.g. probability and amplitude) of specific negative outcomes over different timeframes
- □ (D) Focusing on the potential for systemic impacts (e.g. due to high level of interconnectedness with other global challenges)
- $\square$  (E) Evaluating the potential for certain outcome objectives to act as a catalyst/enabler to achieve a broad range of goals (e.g. gender or education)
- □ (F) Analysing the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society or similar)
- □ (G) Understanding the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- $\square$  (H) Other method, please specify:
- □ (I) We have not yet determined our most important sustainability outcome objectives

# Transparency & Confidence-Building Measures

#### Information disclosed – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 46	CORE	OO 16	N/A	PUBLIC	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Information~disclosed-ESG} \\ {\rm assets} \end{array}$	6

For the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external investment managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- $\square$  (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- ☑ (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☐ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- $\square$  (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance
- (G) Our stewardship approach
- (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)

- ☑ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☑ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- $\square$  (L)We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

#### Client reporting – ESG assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 48	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – ESG assets	6

What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or your ESG/RI certified or labelled assets?

- ☑ (A) Qualitative analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- □ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- ☑ (D) Stewardship results
- ☑ (E) Information on ESG incidents, where applicable
- ☐ (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- □ (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products, and/or our ESG/RI certified or labelled assets

#### Information disclosed – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 49	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Information disclosed – All assets	6

For the majority of your total assets under management, what information about your ESG approach do you (or the external managers/service providers acting on your behalf) include in material shared with clients, beneficiaries and/or the public? The material may be marketing material, information targeted towards existing or prospective clients or information for beneficiaries.

- (A) A commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)
- $\square$  (B) Industry-specific and asset class–specific standards that we align with (e.g. TCFD, or GRESB for property and infrastructure)
- (C) Our responsible investment policy (at minimum a summary of our high-level approach)
- (D) A description of our investment process and how ESG is considered
- ☐ (E) ESG objectives of individual funds
- □ (F) Information about the ESG benchmark(s) that we use to measure fund performance

- ☑ (G) Our stewardship approach
- ☑ (H) A description of the ESG criteria applied (e.g. sectors, products, activities, ratings and similar)
- ☑ (I) The thresholds for the ESG criteria applied in our investment decisions or universe construction
- (J) A list of our main investments and holdings
- ☑ (K) ESG case study/example from existing fund(s)
- $\square$  (L) We do not include our approach to ESG in material shared with clients/beneficiaries/the public for the majority of our assets under management

## Client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 50	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Client reporting – All assets	6

#### What ESG information is included in your client reporting for the majority of your assets under management?

- $\square$  (A) Qualitative ESG analysis, descriptive examples or case studies
- □ (B) Quantitative analysis or key performance indicators (KPIs) related to ESG performance
- □ (C) Progress on our sustainability outcome objectives
- (D) Stewardship results
- (E) Information on ESG incidents where applicable
- $\square$  (F) Analysis of ESG contribution to portfolio financial performance
- □ (G) We do not include ESG information in client reporting for the majority of our assets under management

## Frequency of client reporting – All assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 51	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Frequency of client reporting – All assets	6

#### For the majority of each asset class, how frequently do you report ESG-related information to your clients?

(A) Listed equity	(1) Quarterly
(B) Fixed income	(1) Quarterly
(C) Private equity	(3) Annually

## Confidence-building measures

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ISP 52	CORE	OO 16.1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Confidence-building measures	6

What verification has your organisation had regarding the information you have provided in your PRI Transparency Report this year?

- $\square$  (A) We received third-party independent assurance of selected processes and/or data related to our responsible investment processes, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- $\square$  (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls/governance or processes to be able to conduct an external assurance next year
- $\square$  (C) The internal audit function team performed an independent audit of selected processes/and or data related to our responsible investment processes reported in this PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, CEO, other C-level equivalent and/or investment committee has signed off on our PRI report
- $\square$  (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our ESG/sustainability marketed funds or products (excluding ESG/RI certified or labelled assets)
- □ (G) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to check that our funds comply with our RI policy (e.g. exclusion list or investee companies in portfolio above certain ESG rating)
- $\square$  (H) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- $\square$  (I) Responses related to our RI practices documented in this report have been internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- $\square$  (J) None of the above

# Listed Equity (LE)

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 10	LE 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors across listed equities?

(3) Active – fundamental				
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	•			
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	0			
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	0			
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	0			
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	O			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1.1	CORE	LE 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

(3) Active - Fundamental

#### How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

# (A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors (B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors (C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon

# Long-term ESG trend analysis

(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material

ESG factors on revenues and

business operations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your listed equity assets?

	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all assets	0

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of assets	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of assets	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	

# ESG incorporation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 10	LE 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation	1

How does your financial modelling and equity valuation process incorporate material ESG risks?

	$(3) \ {\bf Active-fundamental}$
(A) We incorporate governance- related risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	
(B) We incorporate environmental and social risks into financial modelling and equity valuations	
(C) We incorporate environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into financial modelling and equity valuations	
(D) ESG risk is incorporated into financial modelling and equity valuations at the discretion of individual investment decision-makers, and we do not track this process	

(E) We do not incorporate ESG
risks into our financial modelling
and equity valuations

# Assessing ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 10	LE 4.1	PUBLIC	Assessing ESG performance	1

 $\checkmark$ 

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial modelling and equity valuation process?

	$(3) \ {\bf Active-fundamental}$			
(A) We incorporate information on current performance across a range of ESG metrics				
(B) We incorporate information on historical performance across a range of ESG metrics				
(C) We incorporate information enabling performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of ESG metrics				
(D) We incorporate information on ESG metrics that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability				
(E) We do not incorporate ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial modelling or equity valuation				

# ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
${ m LE}~6$	CORE	OO 10	LE 6.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

#### How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	${\bf (3) \ Active-fundamental}$
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	☑
(B) The holding period of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	
(C) The portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio or benchmark is influenced by ESG factors	
(D) The allocation of assets across multi-asset portfolios is influenced by ESG factors through the strategic asset allocation process	
(E) Other expressions of conviction (please specify below)	
(F) The portfolio construction or benchmark selection does not explicitly include the incorporation of ESG factors	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6.1	CORE	LE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

In what proportion of cases did ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

(3)	Active -	- fundamental
-----	----------	---------------

(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	(3) in a minority of cases
--	----------------------------

#### ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 8	CORE	OO 6.1 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- $\square$  (A) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process, but only for our ESG/sustainability labelled funds that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- □ (B) We have an independent committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all of our listed equity assets that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- $\square$  (C) We have an independent committee that verifies that we have correctly implemented pre-trade checks in our internal systems to ensure no execution is possible without their pre-clearance
- $\square$  (D) Other, please specify:

We have system-based exclusion incorporated in the portfolio management system for both pre- and post-trade. We have a legal and compliance team that verifies that pre-trade checks are implemented in our internal system. We have a sustainability manager who ensures that the equity assets meet the screening criteria post-trade.

□ (E) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

# Post-investment phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks? (3) Active - fundamental (A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual listed equities (B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level (C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed (D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of  $\checkmark$ the individual fund manager and vary in frequency (E) We do not conduct reviews 

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your listed equity assets?

	(3) Active – fundamental
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	O
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	0
(E) Other	0
(F) We currently do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	0

# Reporting/Disclosure

## Sharing ESG information with stakeholders

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 13	CORE	OO 6 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

	(1) for all of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(2) for the majority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(3) for a minority of our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(A) We publish a list of ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	0	0	O	•
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share them on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation	0	0	0	•
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	0	0	0	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Sharing ESG information with stakeholders	6

What ESG information is covered in your regular reporting to stakeholders such as clients or beneficiaries?

#### (3) Active - fundamental

(A) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes qualitative examples of engagement and/or ESG incorporation	3) In a minority of our stakeholder reporting
(B) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG engagement data	4) In none of our stakeholder reporting
(C) Our regular stakeholder reporting includes quantitative ESG incorporation data	4) In none of our stakeholder reporting

# Stewardship

## Voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 15.1, LE 16	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

Does your organisation have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy? (The policy may be a standalone policy, part of a stewardship policy or incorporated into a wider RI policy.)

- (A) Yes, we have a publicly available (proxy) voting policy Add link(s): https://ma.jinvest.com/media/28129/voting-policy.pdf
- (B) Yes, we have a (proxy) voting policy, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) No, we do not have a (proxy) voting policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 15.1	CORE	OO 9 LE, LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

#### What percentage of your listed equity assets does your (proxy) voting policy cover?

(A) Actively managed listed equity covered by our voting policy

(12) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 16	CORE	LE 15	N/A	PUBLIC	Voting policy	2

#### Does your organisation's policy on (proxy) voting cover specific ESG factors?

- $\square$  (A) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific governance factors Describe:
- □ (B) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific environmental factors Describe:
- □ (C) Our policy includes voting guidelines on specific social factors Describe:
- ☑ (D) Our policy is high-level and does not cover specific ESG factors Describe:

## Alignment & effectiveness

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 17	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Alignment & effectiveness	2

When you use external service providers to give voting recommendations, how do you ensure that those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) We review service providers' controversial and high-profile voting recommendations	(1) in all cases
before voting is executed	(1) III din casce

(B) Before voting is executed, we review service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

(1) in all cases

#### Security lending policy

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 18	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 18.1, LE 18.2	PUBLIC	Security lending policy	2

Does your organisation have a public policy that states how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme? (The policy may be a standalone guideline or part of a wider RI or stewardship policy.)

- o (A) We have a public policy to address voting in our securities lending programme. Add link(s):
- o (B) We have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable, we do not have a securities lending programme

#### Shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 19	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Shareholder resolutions	2

Which of the following best describes your decision-making approach regarding shareholder resolutions, or that of your service provider(s) if decision-making is delegated to them?

- (A) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors or on our stewardship priorities
- (B) In the majority of cases, we support resolutions that, if passed, are expected to advance progress on the underlying ESG factors but only if the investee company has not already committed publicly to the action requested in the proposal
- $\circ$  (C) In the majority of cases, we only support shareholder resolutions as an escalation tactic when other avenues for engagement with the investee company have not achieved sufficient progress
- (D) In the majority of cases, we support the recommendations of investee company management by default
- o (E) In the majority of cases, we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

#### Pre-declaration of votes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 20	CORE	OO 9 LE	N/A	PUBLIC	Pre-declaration of votes	2

#### How did your organisation or your service provider(s) pre-declare votes prior to AGMs/EGMs?

- $\square$  (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system
- □ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly (e.g. through our own website) Link to public disclosure:
- $\square$  (C) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- □ (D) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly, including the rationale for our (proxy) voting decisions where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain Link to public disclosure:
- $\square$  (E) Prior to the AGM/EGM, we privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies in cases where we planned to vote against management proposals or abstain
- (F) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions
- $\square$  (G) We did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

#### Voting disclosure post AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 21	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 21.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2

# Do you publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions, or those made on your behalf by your service provider(s), in a central source?

- (A) Yes, for >95% of (proxy) votes Link:
- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes Link:
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes 1) Add link and 2) Explain why you only publicly disclose a minority of (proxy) voting decisions:
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions Explain why you do not publicly report your (proxy) voting decisions:

18

We send voting reports directly to clients upon request. We do publish a voting behavior report each year on our website in accordance with EU regulation for investment firms -  $\frac{\text{https:}}{\text{majinvest.com/en/about-maj-invest/information/legal-information/}$ 

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 22	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 22.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2

#### Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions?

- $\square$  (A) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was provided privately to the company
- □ (B) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- (C) In cases where we voted against management recommendations or abstained, we did not communicate the rationale
- $\square$  (D) We did not vote against management or abstain

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 23	CORE	OO 9 LE	LE 23.1	PUBLIC	Voting disclosure post $AGM/EGM$	2, 5

Did your organisation and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf communicate the rationale for your voting decisions when voting against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory?

- $\square$  (A) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was disclosed publicly
- $\square$  (B) In cases where we voted against a shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory, the rationale was not disclosed publicly
- $\square$  (C) We did not vote against any shareholder resolution proposed/filed by a PRI signatory

# Fixed Income (FI)

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 10	FI 1.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify material ESG factors for its fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for all of our assets	0	•	•
(B) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for the majority of our assets	0	0	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process to identify material ESG factors for a minority of our assets	0	0	0
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their own discretion	•	0	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal process to identify material ESG factors	0	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1.1	CORE	FI 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

#### How does your current investment process incorporate material ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) The investment process incorporates material governance factors	Ø	☑	✓
(B) The investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	Ø	☑	☑
(C) The investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's typical investment time horizon			
(D) The investment process incorporates the effect of material ESG factors on revenues and business operations			

## ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 10	FI 2.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

#### How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function/group, have a qualitative ESG veto			

and currency	es, sectors, countries are monitored for GG exposure and for sk limits				Ø		
ESG factors is portfolio cons hedging adjus	sposure to specific s measured for our truction, and sizing or stments are made individual issuers' these factors						
ESG factors i	ethod of incorporating nto risk management e specify below:						
	t have a process to SG factors into our management						
Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle	
FI 2.1	CORE	FI 2	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1	
For what proprocess?	portion of your fixed inco	ome assets are mat	erial ESG factor	s incorporated	into your portfolio risk m	anagement	
(1) SSA							
	es, sectors, countries and for breaches of risk limit		tored for change	es in ESG	(1) for all of our ass	sets	
(2) Corporate	9						
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits (1) for all of our assets							
(3) Securitises	d						
. ,	(B) Companies, sectors, countries and currency are monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits (1) for all of our assets						

## ESG incorporation in asset valuation

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 10	FI 3.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in asset valuation	1

How do you incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of cash flow, revenues and profitability			
(B) We anticipate how the evolution of ESG factors may change the ESG profile of the debt issuer			
(C) We do not incorporate the evolution of ESG factors into our fixed income asset valuation process	Ø	☑	Ø

## ESG incorporation in portfolio construction

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	OO 10	FI 5.1	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

#### How do ESG factors influence your portfolio construction?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) The selection of individual assets within our portfolio is influenced by ESG factors	Ø	☑	☑

ıl				
l				
,				
Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfoliconstruction	io 1
G factors influer	ace your port	folio construct	ion?	
Factors influer	ace your port	folio construct	ion?	
G factors influer				y of cases
				y of cases
	ortfolio is infl	luenced by ES	G factors (3) in a minorit	
1	Dependent	Dependent Gateway on Cateway	Dependent on Gateway to Disclosure	Dependent Gateway to Disclosure Subsection  EL5 N/A PUBLIC ESG incorporation in portfoli

# ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in assessment of issuers	1

When assessing issuers'/borrowers' credit quality, how does your organisation incorporate material ESG risks in the majority of cases?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) In the majority of cases, we incorporate material governance-related risks	0	o	0
(B) In addition to incorporating governance-related risks, in the majority of cases we also incorporate material environmental and social risks	٥	0	0
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG risks for the majority of our credit quality assessments of issuers/borrowers	•	•	•

# ESG performance

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG performance	1

In the majority of cases, how do you assess the relative ESG performance of a borrower within a peer group as part of your investment process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to adjust the internal credit assessments of borrowers by modifying forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates			
(B) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to make relative sizing decisions in portfolio construction			
(C) We use the relative ESG performance of a borrower to screen for outliers when comparing credit spreads to ESG relative performance within a similar peer group			
(D) We consider the ESG performance of a borrower only on a standalone basis and do not compare it within peer groups of other benchmarks			
(E) We do not have an internal ESG performance assessment methodology	Ø	Ø	☑

#### ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 9	CORE	OO 10	FI 9.1	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For your corporate fixed income, does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country and sector?

- □ (A) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by country/region (for example, local governance and labour practices)
- ☐ (B) Yes, it differentiates ESG risks by sector
- ☑ (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country/region and sector

#### Securitised products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Securitised products	1

#### How do you incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products?

- o (A) We analyse ESG risks and returns for both the issuer or debtor and the underlying collateral or asset pool
- o (B) We perform ESG analysis that covers the issuer or debtor only
- o (C) We perform ESG analysis that covers the underlying collateral or asset pool only
- (D) We do not incorporate ESG factors into the financial analysis of securitised products

# Post-investment phase

## ESG risk management

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

#### Do your regular reviews incorporate ESG risks?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Our regular reviews include quantitative information on material ESG risks specific to individual fixed income assets			
(B) Our regular reviews include aggregated quantitative information on material ESG risks at a fund level			
(C) Our regular reviews only highlight fund holdings where ESG ratings have changed			
(D) We do not conduct regular reviews. Risk reviews of ESG factors are conducted at the discretion of the individual fund manager and vary in frequency	Ø	Ø	☑
(E) We do not conduct reviews that incorporate ESG risks			

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

#### Do you regularly identify and incorporate ESG incidents into the investment process for your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into all of our investment decisions	0	•	•
(B) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into the majority of our investment decisions	o	0	0
(C) Yes, we have a formal process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into a minority of our investment decisions	٥	0	0
(D) Yes, we have an ad hoc process in place for identifying and incorporating ESG incidents	•	0	0
(E) We do not have a process in place for regularly identifying and incorporating ESG incidents into our investment decision-making	0	0	0

## Time horizons

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Time horizons	1

In the majority of cases, how does your investment process account for differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We take into account current risks			
(B) We take into account medium- term risks			
(C) We take into account long-term risks			
(D) We do not take into account differing time horizons of holdings and how they may affect ESG factors	Ø	☑	Ø

## Long-term ESG trend analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	CORE	OO 10	N/A	PUBLIC	Long-term ESG trend analysis	1

Do you continuously monitor a list of identified long-term ESG trends related to your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) We monitor long-term ESG trends for all of our assets	0	0	0

(B) We monitor long-term ESG trends for the majority of our assets	0	Ο	0
(C) We monitor long-term ESG trends for a minority of our assets	0	0	0
(D) We do not continuously monitor long-term ESG trends in our investment process	•	•	•

# Reporting/Disclosure

## ESG screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 21	CORE	OO 6 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG screens	6

#### How do you ensure that clients and/or beneficiaries understand ESG screens and their implications?

as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to list of ESG screens:	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(B) We publish any changes in ESG screens and share it on a publicly accessible platform such as a website or through fund documentation Voluntary URL link(s) to ESG screen changes:	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens
(C) We outline any implications of ESG screens, such as deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings, to clients and/or beneficiaries	(4) for none of our assets subject to ESG screens

# Engagement

## Engaging with issuers/borrowers

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 22	CORE	OO 9 FI	FI 22.1	PUBLIC	Engaging with issuers/borrowers	2

At which stages does your organisation engage with issuers/borrowers?

	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) At the pre-issuance/pre-deal stage		
(B) At the pre-investment stage	Ø	✓
(C) During the holding period	Z	☑
(D) At the refinancing stage		☑
(E) When issuers/borrowers default	Ø	☑

# Private Equity (PE)

# Policy

#### Investment guidelines

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

#### What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policies?

- □ (A) Guidelines on how we adapt our ESG approach for the different sectors and geographies we invest in
- $\Box$  (B) Guidelines on how we adapt our ESG approach for the different strategies and company stages we invest in (e.g. venture capital, buy-out, distressed etc.)
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on screening investments
- □ (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- □ (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into 100-day plans (or equivalent) and long-term value creation efforts
- ☑ (F) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, opportunities and incidents
- ☑ (G) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- ☐ (H) Identification of individuals or a group with ultimate responsibility for ESG
- ☐ (I) Our policies do not cover private equity—specific ESG guidelines

# **Fundraising**

#### Commitments to investors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of your funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs) or side letters? (If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you did close funds.)

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs as a standard, default procedure
- $\square$  (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs upon client request
- $\square$  (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon client request
- □ (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- $\square$  (E) Not applicable as we have never raised funds
- $\square$  (F) Not applicable as we have not raised funds in the last 5 years

# Pre-investment phase

## Materiality analysis

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3	CORE	N/A	PE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

#### During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?

(A) We assessed materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments
(B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company–level materiality analysis	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments
(C) We assessed materiality at the industry level only	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments

# Due diligence

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 4	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

#### During the reporting year, how did ESG factors affect the selection of your private equity investments?

(3) for a minority of our private equity investments selected
(3) for a minority of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected
(4) for none of our private equity investments selected

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 5	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

# Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential investments?

(A) We do a high-level/desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags	(3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies	(3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific issues	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments
(D) We conduct site visits and in-depth interviews with management and personnel	(3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
(E) We incorporate actions based on the risks and opportunities identified in the due diligence process into the 100-day plan (or equivalent)	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments
(F) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
(G) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence (e.g. commercial, accounting and legal)	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments
(H) Other, please specify: ${\rm N/A}$	(4) for none of our potential private equity investments

# Post-investment phase

## Monitoring

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6	CORE	N/A	PE 6.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

#### During the reporting year, did you track one or more core ESG KPIs across all your private equity investments?

- ☐ (A) Yes, we tracked environmental KPIs
- ☑ (B) Yes, we tracked social KPIs
- $\square$  (C) Yes, we tracked governance KPIs
- □ (D) We did not track ESG KPIs across our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

#### For the majority of the core KPIs that you tracked, how did you set targets across your private equity investments?

- $\square$  (A) We set targets to achieve incremental improvements based on past performance
- ☐ (B) We set targets using industry benchmarks/standards
- □ (C) We set targets against global benchmarks or thresholds (e.g. on climate change and/or the SDGs)
- (D) We did not set targets for the core ESG KPIs that we tracked
- $\square$  (E) We did not set targets as we don't track core ESG KPIs

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 8	CORE	N/A	PE 8.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1,2

#### What processes do you have in place to support meeting your ESG targets for your private equity investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(B) We implement international best practice standards such as the IFC Performance Standards to guide ongoing assessment and analysis	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(C) We implement certified environmental management systems across our portfolio	(4) for none of our private equity investments

(D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed to achieve the target are put in place	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems and procedures	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(F) We develop minimum health and safety standards	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(G) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level (e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments and end-users)	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(H) Other, please specify:	(4) for none of our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 10	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

# Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG-related risks and opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

(A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(B) We adjust our ESG action plans regularly based on performance monitoring findings	(2) for the majority of our private equity investments
(C) We hire external advisors to provide support with specific ESG value creation opportunities	(3) for a minority of our private equity investments
(D) Other, please specify:  N/A	(4) for none of our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12	CORE	N/A	PE 12.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

#### How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?

(2) for the majority of our private equity investments
(4) for none of our private equity investments
(4) for none of our private equity investments
(2) for the majority of our private equity investments
(2) for the majority of our private equity investments
(3) for a minority of our private equity investments
(4) for none of our private equity investments
(4) for none of our private equity investments

## Exit

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 13	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information has your organisation shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?

(A) We shared our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment (e.g. that we are a PRI signatory)	(1) for all of our private equity investments
(B) We shared a description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with (e.g. TCFD or GRESB)	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(C) We shared our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)	(1) for all of our private equity investments
(D) We shared our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered, in-house and/or with external support)	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(E) We shared the outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company	(4) for none of our private equity investments
(F) We shared key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold	(2) for the majority of our private equity investments
(G) Other, please specify:  N/A	(4) for none of our private equity investments

# Reporting/Disclosure

## ESG portfolio information

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 14	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on core ESG data and targets to your investors or beneficiaries?

- $\square$  (A) We reported in aggregate through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- ☑ (C) We reported on the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors or beneficiaries
- $\square$  (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee
- $\square$  (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors or beneficiaries
- $\square$  (F) We did ad hoc or informal reporting on serious ESG incidents
- $\square$  (G) Other, please specify:
- ☐ (H) We did not report on core ESG data and targets to our investors or beneficiaries during the reporting year